Requesting Department(s): Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences

Academic Level:	Undergraduate
Associated Degree(s): (check all that apply)	Bachelor of Arts Bachelor of Science Bachelor of
Major or Minor:	Major Title: Geoinformatics and Geospatial Analytics Minor Title:
Program Start Term	Fall 2021 Spring Summer Other

Approval Authority	Signature	Date
Department Chair		
College/School Curriculum Committee Chair		
College/School Dean		
Chair, UAAC		

4.0 STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES AND ASSESSMENT PLAN

Note: You are strongly encouraged to work with the University Assessment Coordinator (977-4189 or thatcherk@slu.edu) as you develop this portion of the proposal. The University Assessment Coordinator can help you establish appropriate student learning outcomes, methods for measuring student progress and using the data to inform program improvement, and assist with all facets of academic assessment.

4.1 Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Plan

Complete the table below to provide an overview of your plan to assess student progress toward achievement of desired program-level learning outcomes. Note that results of evaluations of student performance against each learning outcome identified below will be reviewed as part of all college/school/center-level and University-level program reviews.

Program-Level Student Learning Outcomes What are the most important (no more than five) specific learning outcomes you intend for all program completers to be able to <u>achieve and</u> <u>demonstrate</u> upon completion of the program?	Evaluation Method How will students document/demonstrate their performance toward achievement of the learning outcomes? How will you measure student performance toward achievement of the learning outcomes? Describe any use of <u>direct</u> measures: capstone experiences/courses, standardized exams, comprehensive exams, dissertations, licensure exams, locally developed exams, portfolio reviews, course-embedded assessments, etc. Describe any use of <u>indirect</u> measures: student, alumni or employer surveys (including satisfaction surveys); exit interviews/focus groups with grads; retention/transfer studies; graduation rates; job placement/grad school admission rates; etc.	Use of Assessment Data How and when will student performance data be analyzed and then used to "close the assessment loop" and inform program improvement How will you document that?
1. Demonstrate the ability to A) analyze patterns in large, complex datasets and B) communicate information regarding data, analyses, and graphics.	Direct Measures: 1. Students' ability to analyze patterns in large datasets (A) will be assessed in Introduction to Programming for GIS and Remote Sensing (GIS 4090). Final student projects will be evaluated against a common grading rubric to judge the percentage of students able to successfully analyze and present geospatial projects including big data. An acceptable grade	

results from a student grade of B or higher on fim0 G()¢3 201. Tm06 00001

		Development of the program's improvement will be documented using temporal data to note important program changes in relation to student performance metrics (i.e. a timeline).
2. Show proficiency in Remote Sensing, including the ability to acquire, process, and analyze remotely sensed data	 Direct Measures: 1. Final Projects in GIS 4040 and 4050, which require students to acquire, process, and analyze remotely sensed data, will be graded against a common rubric to measure project quality in each focus area and judge trends in student performance over time. Indirect Measures: Successful placement of an internship/job in Remote Sensing Employer Feedback Placement in a Graduate program in Remote Sensing 	Student performance data will be assembled and assessed by the program director after each semester. The rubric scores of student final projects in GIS 4040 and 4050 will be averaged each year and the average will be monitored over time. Scores will be categorized by various learning goals so that faculty may monitor student performance in each category (acquisition of data, processing, analysis, etc). Student placement data will be collected annually and used to support grade-based student performance measures. We aim for a 95% placement and will require curriculum adjustments if SLU graduates are unable to compete in hiring processes.
3. Attain skills in programming languages relevant to GIS, Remote Sensing, and Computer Science.	 Direct Measures: 1. Final Projects in GIS 4090 and 4091 will be used to assess student performance in learning programming languages through grading against a common rubric. Rubric scores will be categorized based on important scripting, GIS, and Remote Sensing topics. Scores will be averaged after each semester and monitored over time. Indirect Measures: 1. Participation in any hackathon/Ideathon/ Mapathon event and monitoring of GeoSLU student team placing over time in the 1904 Geospatial Hackathon. 2. Successful job/internship placements with GIS, remote sensing, or programming employers 	Student performance data in programming languages will be collected and assessed by the program director after each semester. The categorized rubric scores for final projects in GIS 4090 and 4091 will assess performance in different, important subdisciplines of programming that will be used to inform changes to curriculum. Data will be organized sequentially to monitor the effect of curriculum changes on student learning performance. GeoSLU's annual placement in the 1904Labs Geospatial Hacka thon is a good indicator of competition against other academic and professional programmers. With the targeted increase in SLU's

Levell	Level II	Level III
Knowledge & Comprehension: Recall data or info		

info

Major or MInor