

ProgramLevelAssessment: Annual ReportSE

chemistry are offered in Madridand these courses very rarely include majors

3. Assessment Methods: Evaluation Process

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts tudent learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s), (e.g. a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this reproductment (do not just refer to the assessment plan).

Raw scores were tabulated by the instructors of the courses and sent to the undergraduate program coordinate Percentage scores were evaluated using the following criteria:%>82xceeds, 829% = meets, 7079% = approaching, and <7% does not meet

1-

Changes tohe Curriculumor Pedagogies	xCourse content xTeaching techniques xImprovements in technology xPrerequisites	x Course sequence x New courses x Deletion of courses x Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings
Changes to the Assessment Plan	x Student learning outcomes x Artifacts of student learning x Evaluation process	x Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics) x Data collection methods x Frequency of data collection

Pleasedescribethe actions you are takings a result of these findings.

The faculty and staff responsible **fibre** courseassociated with Outcome **#** ave evaluated the results from this outcome. While these results look poor, the overall results for **ajbrs**/degrees combined demonstrates that most students exceed or meet the expectations. The small samples izenakes a meaningful recommendatd [(m)2.2 (I 11.2c)6 ((t)22 Tm [(h90.008 Twll re)-3f8 Tw [(y)-4r 2.3 (t)7m [(T)-a3 (an)2.23 ((e)-3 (s)5)]