ProgramLevelAssessment: Annual Report

ProgramName (no acronyms)Emergency	Department: Emergency Management / SPS
Management	
Degreeor	

Instructors reported that many of the artifacts had properly assessed student learning outcomes for their specif courses, but some minor adjustments might be needed; which will be explained further in section 5 of this report.

Most instructors used quizzes, case studies, final exams and final prajetite ir assessment tool and felt it was appropriate for the type of students in these classes. Findings showed:

- 1) Studentswere able to evaluate methods used to develop policies for emergency rgamænt and homeland security. They understoothe phases the importance of developing policies across abroad spectrum of local, state and federal agencies.
- 2) The students developed a working knowledge of how agencies and organizations in both the public and private sectors must work together in fulfilling the objectives of the Presidential Policy Directives for the United States of America.
- 3) Students were able to critically evaluate performances of organizations and agencies during disasters and improve upon future deployments in mitigation efforts.
- 4) Students successfully demonstrated their ability to provide gap analysis in plans through the development of exercises to test and evaluate those plans.
- 5) Case study/realife scenarios in discussions and assignments weathermelyhelpful. Students expressed their reflections how previous incident analyhist them strengthen their knowledge and theory. During the discussions, students who don't havenergency management backgroundsmefited from their peers' input in their postings who hold postions currently in the emergency management field.

There is a special personal excitement amongst students in the program that encourages them to achieve higher grades than in typical collegourses. The concept of having the ability to save lives resonates strong in our student population.

All courses were taught online, so there is no difference in teaching modality to note

5. Findings:Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you?

As discussed in section 4, that a has largely supported that the artifacts chosen However, there is always

B. Howspecificallyhave you decided to ustaesefindingsto improve teaching and learning in your programor example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following

Changes to the Curriculumor Pedagogies

- Canvascourseprogram templates
- Course sequence
- Coordinate with industry Core Competencies
- Coordinated teaching of subjectsImprovements in technology
- improvements in te

Prerequisites

Changes to the Assessment Plan

- Added cours@earning outcomes
- Artifacts of student learning
- Internalize evaluation procesis
 Canvas
- Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)
- Data collection methods
- Frequency of data collection

Pleasedescribethe actions you are takings a result of the findings.

We will be reviewing the course offerings and update frequency as necessary.

Add instructor feedback section to canvas outcomes where data is collected.

Review programlevel learning outcomes in courses to assess changes that might be necessary.

If no changes are beingade, please explain why.

NA