College/School: School of Education

Date (Month/Year): September 2023

Assessment Contact: Jody Wood

In what year was the data upon which this report is based collected? 2022- 2023

In what year was the program's assessment plan most recently reviewed/updated? 2022

Is this program accredited by an external program/disciplinary/specialized accrediting organization or subject to state/licensure requirements? Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (Principal/Superintendent Licensure is an option for Ph.D. Students)

If yes, please share how this affects the program's assessment process (e.g., number of learning outcomes assessed, mandated exams or other assessment methods, schedule or timing of assessment, etc.): Not Applicable; it does not affect the process.

# 1. Student Learning Outcomes

Which of the program's student learning outcomes were assessed in this annual assessment cycle? (Please provide the complete list of the program's learning outcome statements and **bold** the SLOs assessed in this cycle.)

### SLU Outcome:

Assess relevant literature or scholarly contributions in the field(s) of study.

### Ph.D. Outcome #1:

Graduates will apply evidence based literature to leadership practices.

### 2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning

Which artifacts of student learning were used to determine if students achieved the outcome(s)? Please describe the artifacts in detail, identify the course(s) in which they were collected, and if they are from program majors/graduates and/or other students. Clarify if any such courses were offered a) online, b) at the Madrid campus, or c) at any other off-campus location.

The artifacts directly measuring student learning of applying evidence based literature to leaderships appeartice

### Comprehensie Eams

The Comprehensive Exam is a written, take-home exam given to Ph.D. students who are in the final semester of coursework and before the dissertation process begins. It is exemplary of Ph.D. students' most advanced work outside of the dissertation. Students are given a number of questions, one for each of the Ph.D. courses they have taken during their program. They have 2

were approaching passing in that the plan was supported through multiple citations to the discipline-based literature, but the application of course content was limited to one course. In addition, only three of the four elements of the plan of action were adequately addressed. The student outcomes for this signature assignment could have been improved by increasing the detail, substantive nature, and citations regarding the timeline and monitoring/evaluation plan.

### 5. Findings: Interpretations & Conclusions

What have you learned from these results? What does the data tell you? Address both a) learning gaps and possible curricular or pedagogical remedies, and b) strengths of curriculum and pedagogy.

Given the data from the artifacts assessed during the 2022-2023 assessment cycle, our Ph.D. graduates are able

- Revise the rubric with new language that provides clarity and ability to retain professional judgment when scoring the comprehensive exams.
- Increase initial instruction and support for students in developing plans, with specific focus on timelines and monitoring and evaluating plans, that are supported by evidence-based practice with literature citations.
- Review the prompts to highlight the importance of a fully developed leadership plan for action that addresses all challenges.

If no changes are being made, please explain why.

#### 7. Closing the Loop: Reievor Preious Assessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of preious assessment data?

As a faculty, we decided to realign the rubrics used in EDR 6970 (Research Topics) to address all student learning outcomes for EDR 6990 last year. In EDR 6970, the teacher of record reviewed the course materials and adjusted the curriculum to include instruction and review on the research methods and technical writing skills necessary to finish Chapter 4 and 5. The rationale for this change was that it is imperative students learn how to analyze data, report findings, and draw conclusions before enrolling in EDR 6990 and EDR 6970. Beginning in Spring 2023, students in the Ph.D. program began to receive feedback on the rubric related to the student learning outcomes in EDR 6970.

# EDR 6970 Ph.D. Dissertation Proposal Rubric

Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Chapter 1: Introduction Proficient	Developing	
		demonstrates adequate competence in establish a framework for the research, creates reader interest, lays the broad foundation for the proble places the study within the larger context of scholar literature. The introduction	demonstrates limited ingnowledge and applicati of presenting the introduction, exhibits bas understanding, appears nhave omissions in linking thoughts, limited quality yengaging the reader or	ofhoughts, minimal quality engaging the reader or . using scholarly literature
Background	issue/problem that exists the literature, theory, or practice. Part of the background demonstrate in- depth knowledge and thoughtful application in stating an idepth analysis	critical knowledge and application in presenting	knowledge and applicati impresenting an issue/problem that exists the literature, theory, or practice. Part of the sbackground demonstrate limited knowledge and application in stating an	opritical knowledge and application in presenting issue/problem. Part of th background demonstrate minimal knowledge and
Rationale/Key Concepts/Purpose Statement	competence in orienting reader to the central inte and reasoning for the stu	demonstrates adequate the pretence in orienting enteader to the central inte udand reasoning for the stu sThe purpose statement i clear and concise.	tbempetence in orienting nteader to the central inte udy.reasoning for the stud	enthe central intent or ly. s

Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Proficient	Developing	Beginning
Research	demonstrates exemplary	demonstrates adequate	demonstrates limited crit	
Questions/Hypotheses/Variat	eritical knowledge and	critical knowledge and	knowledge and application	on
S	application in presenting	application in presenting	0.5 (p)0.5 (l)9.1 (i)-1 353	.28 503.4 Tm [(c)-b940.5 (e
	clear, interrogative	clear, interrogative		
	statements or hypothese	sstatements or hypothese	es	
	be answered by the	to be answered by the		
	research. If necessary,	research. If necessary,		
	variables are presented	variables are presented		
	accurately.	accurately.		

Chapter 2: Review of Literature

Proposal Attribute	Accomplished*	Proficient	Developing	Beginning
Chapter Summary	that brings the content together and prepares th reader for the next chapt	r conclusion to the chapte that brings the content etogether and prepares th erreader for the next chap	r conclusion to the chapte that plausibly brings the	content together or prepare htene reader for the next andhapter.
Logic Model Organization	competence in organizin the sections of the chapt using the logic model, where one section builds	ethe sections of the chapt using the logic model, where one section builds	gcompetence in organizin ethe sections of the chapt using the logic model,	demonstrates minimal gcompetence in organizing ethe sections of the chapter using the logic model. Sections do not build on th poentents of the previous section.
APA references, citations, styl	demonstrates competen in presenting references according to APA guidelines; properly formatted; abundant and significant use of referen	acceptable level of presenting references according to APA	presenting the reference	demonstrates minimal the fowledge of application of spresenting the references; tofcorrect formatting; missing references

EDR 6970 Ph.D. Dissertation Proposal Rubric

Scorer: Comprehensive Written Exams Pass/Fail:

Student Number: Question: A

Passing papers must achieve the expectations delineated in the shaded cells.

CATEGORY: Content	Pass	Approaching Passing	Not Pass	Comments
SLU Outcome Alignment: 3.1 Graduates will apply evidence-based knowledge of educational leadership to address problems in broader contexts.	The answer provides an <u>adequate</u> analysis of the problems, issues, or challenges, identifying <u>more than</u> <u>one appropriate</u> theoretical issues or foundational	The answer provides a <u>plausible</u> analysis of <u>some obvious</u> problems, issues, or	The answer <u>does not</u> represent an analysis of the problem or the theoretical issues or foundational problems of practice in educational administration.	
EDL Outcomes Alignment: 1.1 Graduates will assess discipline-based literature to analyze educational	The answer has an <u>adequate</u> application of knowledge of educational			

administration	The answer
practices.	synthesizes theories
	from more than one
	course in supporting

clear about what you The explanation of The explanation of the The explanation of the would prioritize. the plan is supported plan is supported plan is not supported Explain who you 3. by multiple citations through multiple would involve, why and to the disciplinecitations to the how you would involve based literature and discipline-based them, and the role they literature and the the application of would play. course content to the application of course 4. Provide an case from more than content to the case explanation of how you would monitor/ evaluate one course. from one course.

EDL Outcomes Alignment: 1.1 Graduates will assess discipline-based literature to analyze educational administration practices.

your work.

2023 Rubric - Final

administration, aspen,

2023 Rubric - Final

APA References/ Citations