ProgramLevel Assessment: Annual Report (Due October 1, 2023)

Program Name (no acronyms): MA Educational Leadership	hip Department: Educationeoe 0.7 (m)3 (e)6AD.1 (ro)3.5 (g)7. Whichroftelie bisograths ptogentils are nininguted coesewateter			
	SisOe's related testwill disselse vehalal methestaration particles; exaministra SLO 2: Graduates will apply knowledge about evidence-based leasurriculum/instruction/assessment, and management practices areas.			
	SLO 3:			
	Graduates will apply knowledge about evidencesed leader curriculum/instruction/assessment, and management pract areas. SLO 4: Graduates will evidence educational leadership practices educational administration areas.			
2. Assessment Methods: Artifacts of Student Learning				

What process was used to evaluate the artifacts of student learning, and by whom? Please identify the tools(s) (e.g., a rubric) used in the process and include them in/with this report document(please do not just refer to the assessment plan).

Data were provided to faculty through the Annual Performance Report created by the state of Missouri. Our assessment coordinator brought the data to a faculty meeting on April 5, 2023, where the entire EDL faculty reviewed the data, identifying strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement. We obtained student-level score data from the MoCA 1-1 \approx 102 Tc 11(o)-6.6 e1 A4db 1-1 \approx 102c10.8iw4-3 (l)6.7 (r)-8' (ts)6.6 (c)-4.8n)r r r reu())-5.I(c)-4.8710.6 (m)-6.4 (e)-6.9 (

example, perhaps you've initiated one or more of the following:

Changes to theCourse contentCourse sequenceCurriculum orTeaching techniquesNew coursesPedagogiesImprovements in technologyDeletion of courses

Prerequisites Changes in frequency or scheduling of course offerings

Changes to the Student learning outcomes Evaluation tools (e.g., rubrics)

Assessment Plan Artifacts of student learning Data collection methods

Evaluation process Frequency of data collection

Please describe the actions you are taking as a result of these findings.

Per the above, faculty is evaluating course content for alignment to Missouri content standards, and developing a test preparation seminar.

lf nດ	changes	are being	made.	please	explain	whv
	oriurigos	are being	maac	picasc	CAPIGILL	

7. Closing the Loop: Review of Previoassessment Findings and Changes

A. What is at least one change your program has implemented in recent years as a result of previous assessment data?

During the 2021-2022 assessment cycle for the MA program, faculty evaluated SLO #4 using a Stance paper assignment from EDL 5100 Foundations of Educational Administration. During the fall of 2022, faculty collaborated during monthly faculty meetings around curriculum scope and sequence using the following collaborative plan:

Outcomes:

- · September: share Syllabi, update course outcomes and materials
- · October: evaluate course content for equity
- · November: share and update assessments and rubrics

B. How has the change/have these changes identified in 7A been assessed?

The instructor in EDL 5100 will evaluate student outcomes on the stance paper assignment in fall 2023. Faculty will all evaluate student outcomes on capstone assignments for evidence of achievement of SLO #4 as well as this assessment cycle's focus on SLO #3.

C. What were the findings of the assessment?

The data are in review

D. How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

The EDL faculty consider improvement planning/program assessment in every faculty meeting during the academic year.

Faculty are incorporating curricular improvements based on these deliberations, such as integration of preparation for the principal internship into the curriculum content for EDL 5630 Principalship I, and EDL 5660, Principalship II.

IMPORTANT: Please submit any assessment tools (e.g., artifact prompts, rubrics) with this report as separate attachments or copied and pasted/appended into this Word document. Please do not just refer to the assessment plan; the report should serve as aætd-alone document. Thank you.