### Program Assessment Annual Report

Program One Year MBA Program

Department:

College/School: Chaifetz School of Business

Date: Sept/Oct 2021

Primary Assessment ContacOne Year MBA Program faculty director, DesCanders

1. Which program student learning outcomes were assessed annual assessment cycle

Four of Fiveerning outcomes are assessed in this report represents the work of a single cohort of 37ustents through our 1-month program (Summer 20, Fall 20, Spring 21).

Ideally, we would have collected datarfLO3 Global Trends/ 11.0 BT /F1 11.04 Tf 0 TereTids/

presented to faculty as well as key personal from the partner organizations typically containi

either meeting or exceeding expectations in the third **oritie**(Developspecifc solutions to solve the problem).

Learning outcome 4Student presentations were graded using a modified method this year du to the virtual nature (Zoom)in which most toolplace For this assessment, this structor and a representative from the partner corporation provided scores for the quality the oral presentation, as well as the content of the presentation general, scores were very strong of this area of assessment. Average scores included both dimensions from both graders, were strong 35 of 37 students scored for higher out of 5 which equates to 70%

At a more granular level, companypresentatives tended to grade more **lien**tly than did the course instructor, but the difference was not substable (4.78 vs. 4.51 on presentation; 4.41 vs. 4.30 on content) Based or our instructor scores, content results (30 of 37 scored ither 4 or 5, 81%) were slightly lower than presentation score (32 of 37 scored ither 4 or 5; 87%).

Learning outcome 5: Sudents were assessed on their ability to identify the moral implications a business case, apply ethical frameworks to their analysis of the case, and choose an appropriate of

admitted students whose quantitate back

industry partners are looking for in graduates.

Studentshaverequested electives in the program. Thoserrent program is a lockstep program so substitutions are not possible at this timesowever, a revise program proposal that is currently making is way through our curricultor revision process will allow for at least one el



## 2021 Comparative Data Guide - MFT for MBA Individual Students Total Score Distribution

Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between September 2017 through June 2021

Number of Examinees	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation
28,042	246.9	247.0	15.9

#### Individual Students Total Score Distribution

Total Score Range (220 - 300)	Percent Below
283 - 300	99
282	98
281	98
280	98
279	98
278	
	85
265	84
264	84
263	82
262	80
261	78
260	75
259	73
258	73
257	70
256	68
255	65
254	65
253	62
252	60
251	57
250	57
249	54
248	51
247	49
246	46
245	46

//4NMF// Page 4



Total Score Range (220 - 300)	Percent Below				
244	43				
243	40				
242	38				
241	35				
240	33				
239	30				
238	30				
237	28				
236	26				
235	26				
234	24				
233	21				
232	19				
231	18				
230	16				
229	14				
228	13				
227	13				
226	11				
225	10				
224	7				
223	7				
222	5				
221	4				
220	1				

Total Scores are reported as *scaled scores*. Percent Below based on percent below the lower limit of the score interval.

//4NMF// Page 5



## 2021 Comparative Data Guide - MFT for MBA Institutional Means Total Score Distribution

Data includes students from domestic institutions who tested between September 2017 through June 2021

Number of Institutions	Mean	Median	Standard Deviation
223	247.1	248.0	8.1

#### Institutional Means Total Score Distribution

Mean Total Score Range (220 - 300)	Percent Below				
264 - 300	99				
263	98				
262	98				
261	97				
260	95				
259	94				
258	93				
257	91				
256	86				
255	82				
254	79				
253	75				
252	69				
251	63				
250	55				
249	50				
248	44				
247	38				
246	34				
245	30				
244	29				
243	26				
242	24				
241	18				
240	17				
239	15				
238	13				
237	11				
236	9				
235	8				
234	8				
233	7				
232	4				
231	3				
230	2				

//4NMF// Page 6



# 2021 Comparative Data Guide - MFT for MBA¹ Institutional Assessment Indicator Mean Score Distributions



Mean Percent Correct (0 - 100%)	A1 <sup>2</sup> Percent Below	A2 <sup>2</sup> Percent Below	A3 <sup>2</sup> Percent Below	A4 <sup>2</sup> Percent Below	A5 <sup>2</sup> Percent Below
38	1	2	69	31	7
37	1	1 61		27	5
36	1	1	52	20	3
35	1	1	43	14	3
34	1	1	36	13	2
33	1	1	25	11	2
32	1	1	18	8	1
31	1	1	14	6	1
30	1	1	9	4	1
29	1	1	5	2	1
28	1	1	4	1	1
27	1	1	2	1	1
0 - 26	1	1	1	1	1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Assessment Indicators for this test cannot be compared tothis 1 Tf 0 0 0 rg 1 0 0 j0 rg 1 6 0 ared tothis 1 T706 952.17647 Tm 0.011



Together CU	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	А	and had a tough problem statement that involved working with the CU Nexus data. I think they did a great job breaking down the different steps and highlighting the pain points for customers through the application process. They left Together CU with several areas to look into further.
NP - Online/Offline	5	4	4.5	5	5	5	4.85	Α	Very high involvement in the overall object. Displayed high responsibility and sincerety
BMT	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Α	High involvement in the overall object. Displayed responsibility and sincerety
NP - Online/Offline	5	4	4.5	5	4	4.5	4.5	Α	High involvement in the overall object. Displayed responsibility and sincerety
STL Auarium	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	Α	High involvement in the overall object. Displayed responsibility and sincerety
Together CU	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	А	and had a tough problem statement that involved working with the CU Nexus data. I think they did a great job breaking down the different steps and highlighting the pain points for customers through the application process. They left Together CU with several areas to look into further.