Program Master's degree Department:Women's and Gender Studies College/SchoolArts & Sciences Date:2/6/18 Primary Assessment Contaœretchen Arnold, Ph.D.

Note: Each cell in the table below will expand as needled ccommodate your responses.

Program Learning Outcomes

What do the program facultexpect all studentsto know, or be able to do, as a result of completing this program

local contexts

attached rubric.

4 Articulate arguments or explanations to both a disciplinary or professional audience and to a general audience, in 3. On what schedule/cycle will faculte view and, if needed, modify this assessment plan?

Annually, at the same time we meet to discuss assessment reports.

IMPORTANTPleaseremember to submit any assessment rubries (noted above) along with this report.

Assessment rubric **Capstone paper and oral defense**

Learning outcome	Below expectations (BE)	(BE/ME)	Meets expectations (ME)	(ME/EE)	Exceeds expectations (EE)	Artifacts used
2. Applies WGS theories, practices, methodologies	Omits obvious relevant WGS theories or its implications for topic Fails to account for feminist methodo- logical issues, e.g., who gets to speak for whom, what impact					

Assessment rubric **Current Issues and Debates**

Learning outcome	Below expectations (BE)	(BE/ME)	Meets expectations (ME)	(ME/EE)	Exceeds expectations (EE)	Artifacts used
3. Examines local, national, and/or transnational problems from feminist perspectives	 Editorial does not clearly define the problem or use feminist theory and/or activism to address it. Classroom discus- sion shows little grasp of the problems being addressed. Reading responses 					

Assessment rubric **Feminist Epistemologies**

Learning outcome	Below expectations		Meets expectations		Exceeds expectations	Artifacts used
	(BE)	(BE/ME)	(ME)	(ME/EE)	(EE)	
1. Assesses relevant	Paper fails to give a		Paper shows how		Uses multidisciplinary	Research paper
literature	coherent picture of the		existing literature		resources in evaluating	
	problem or how to		defines and addresses		current approaches to the	
	address it, as discussed		the relevant issues.		problem being discussed.	
	so far in existing		Moves beyond piece-		They are understood	
	literature. No original		by-piece approach to		thematically rather than	
	analysis.		understanding it.		article-by-article. Original	
			Offers some original		analysis may be	
			analysis.		publishable.	

2. Applies WGS

Assessment rubric **Feminist Theories**

Learning outcome	Below expectations (BE)	(BE/ME)	Meets expectations (ME)	(ME/EE)	Exceeds expectations (EE)	Artifacts used
1. Assesses relevant literature	Fails to engage the intellectual genealogy of feminist analysis		Original analysis is well-supported by reference to authori-			

Assessment rubric Internship course

Learning outcome	Below expectations (BE)	(BE/ME)	Meets expectations (ME)	(ME/EE)	Exceeds expectations (EE)	Artifacts used
2. Applies WGS theories, practices, methodologies	Ignores obvious diversity, inter- sectionality, and power differentials in the organization Fails to account for feminist methodo- logical issues in carrying out internship activities, e.g., who gets to speak for whom, what impact diversity may have on data gathering or outcomes		Accounts for diversity, intersection- ality, and power differentials in the organization broader society			
	Student fails to take ethical issues into account • In any group work, student does less than their fair share • Student fails to meet commitments when working with community partners		 Student takes ethical issues into account In any group work, students do their fair share Student meets commitments when working with community partners 		• Student goes above and beyond expectations to assist community rMCID(go)]7(t)-56.hhes

Assessment rubric Research methodologies courses (Cultural Analysis; Program Evaluation)

Learning outcome	Below expectations		Meets expectations		Exceeds expectations
	(BE)	(BE/ME)	(ME)	(ME/EE)	(EE)